

United States District Court

For the Northern District of California

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ARISTOCRAT TECHNOLOGIES ET AL,

No. C06-03717 MJJ

Plaintiffs,

v.

INTERNATIONAL GAME TECHNOLOGY
ET AL,

Defendants.

**ORDER CLARIFYING PREVIOUS
ORDER DENYING DEFENDANTS'
MOTION FOR A ONE-DAY BENCH
TRIAL ON INEQUITABLE CONDUCT
ISSUE AND STAY OF OTHER
PROCEEDINGS**

/

///

///

///

On November 9, 2006, this Court denied Defendants International Game Technology, Inc. and IGT's (collectively, "Defendants" or "IGT") Motion for a One-Day Bench Trial And Limited Discovery on an Inequitable Conduct Issue And Stay of Proceedings on Other Issues.¹ Plaintiffs Aristocrat Technologies Australia, PTY Limited and Aristocrat Technologies, Inc. (collectively, "Plaintiffs" or "Aristocrat") opposed the motion. The Court's Order explicitly denied Defendants' request for a one-day bench trial on the issue of inequitable conduct, but did not address the merits of Defendants' request for limited discovery on the issue of inequitable conduct. As clarification to the previous Order, the Court finds that Defendants are not precluded from seeking to compel production of certain documents related to their asserted inequitable conduct defense. Any motion

¹Docket No. 33, filed September 19, 2006.

1 to compel production of these materials shall be briefed by the parties and heard by Magistrate Judge
2 James Larson.

3

4

5 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

6

7

8 Dated: December 20, 2006

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28



MARTIN J. JENKINS
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE